

TWINNING PROJECT TR 11 IB TR 01 STRENGTHENING INSTITUTIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY OF THE TURKISH DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION

REGULATION 1178/2011 ORGANISATION IN FRANCE

ANK - 0306a









Union and the Republic of Turkey

TWINNING PROJECT TR 11 IB TR 01 STRENGTHENING INSTITUTIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY OF THE TURKISH DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF

CIVIL AVIATION

MINISTRY LEVEL

Minister of the Environment, Energy and the Sea, in charge of International Relations on Climate

Ségolène ROYAL



Regional, Interregional ou "Interdépartemental" level

Regional and "Interdispartamental" directors to requipment and development (DREA)
Regional and "Interdispartamental" department for accommodation and housing (DRIAL)
Regional and "Interdispartamental" directors to for the onvironment and energy (DREE)

Interregional directorates for the sea (DIRM) for mainland France

Regional directorates for the environment, development and housing (DREAL)

In the lo-de-France

and the state of t

Interministerial "départementai"*(1) level

Departmental territorial directorates (D0T)^{**} or departmental territorial directorates for the sea (D0TM)^{**}

'Aparl Inter Paris and De "Bilgarlement" of Die gender Paris anne of De de-France where regional and "Interdipartementales" denotanales sen composited ""Algarlemental" informationis di admicutante andre De regionability of Die "politif" who implemente ministry p

"Départament" directoralas for social cohesion (DDCS/"
"Départament" directoralas for population protection (DDPP)"
"Départament" directoralas for social cohesion and protection of populations (DDCSPP)"

🖉 interministeriai

For earlain missions:

Overseas

Interministerial delegate for forest and wood management

- Directorates for the environment, development and housing (DEAL) Gusdeloupe Guyane Martinique Mayote La Réunion
- Directorates for the sea (DM) lusdeloupe - Guyane - Martinique - Sud océan Indien
- Directorates for territories, food and the sea (DTAM) Spint-Pierro at Micuolan



"interdépartemental" road directorates(DIR)









This project is co-funded by the European Union and the Republic of Turkey

BULDING THE SKY OF THE FUTURE

9

No. of Concession, Name













This is a twinning partnership between the Directorate General of Civil Aviation, Republic of Turkey and the Directorate General Of Civil Aviation, Ministry of Ecology, Sustainable Development and Energy, Republic of France and ReCECA, Republic of Romania



Personal Second







TWINNING PROJECT TR 11 IB TR 01 STRENGTHENING INSTITUTIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY OF THE TURKISH DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION

CLASS 1

- Five metropolitan AeMC's4 overseas
- •Two AME's





This project is co-funded by the European Union and the Republic of Turkey











This project is co-funded by the European Union and the Republic of Turkey

CLASS 2/ LAPL

- 700 AME's
- No GMP's











TWINNING PROJECT TR 11 IB TR 01 STRENGTHENING INSTITUTIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY OF THE TURKISH DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION

CABIN CREW

AeMC's AME's class 2 No OHMP Periodicity of examinations : 2 years











MED. A O50 REFERRAL AeMC's and AME's must apply medical regulation

- From MED.B.010 cardiology to MED.B.090 oncology
- If the paragraph tells to refer all the data's are sent to the medical assessors of the licensing authority









TWINNING PROJECT TR 11 IB TR 01 STRENGTHENING INSTITUTIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY OF THE TURKISH DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION

AEROMEDICAL SECTION

3 medical assessors6 administrative clerks





















MEDICAL BOARD COMPOSITION

- 9 members competent in aerospace medicine and spécialists in various fields of medicine. (President and vice president included)
- 1 member désignated on proposition of defense ministry
- 1 member désignated on proposition of general aviation
- 2 members désignated on proposition of airlines
- 2 members désignated on proposition of unions









MEDICAL BOARD

One session per month















d) Coronary Artery Disease

(1) Applicants for a Class 1 medical certificate with:

- (i) suspected myocardial ischaemia;
- (ii) asymptomatic minor coronary artery disease requiring no anti-anginal treatment;
- shall be referred to the licensing authority and undergo cardiological evaluation to exclude myocardial ischaemia before a fit assessment can be considered.
- (2) Applicants for a Class 2 medical certificate with any of the conditions detailed in (1) shall undergo cardiological evaluation before a fit assessment can be considered.











IR MED B.010 d) Coronary Heart Disease

- 3) Applicants with any of the following conditions shall be assessed as unfit:
- (i) myocardial ischaemia;
- (ii) symptomatic coronary artery disease;
- (iii) symptoms of coronary artery disease controlled by medication.
- (4) Applicants for the initial issue of a Class 1 medical certificate with a history or diagnosis of any of the following conditions shall be assessed as unfit:
- (i) myocardial ischaemia;
- (ii) myocardial infarction;
- (iii) revascularisation for coronary artery disease.
- (5) Applicants for a Class 2 medical certificate who are asymptomatic following myocardial infarction or surgery for coronary artery disease shall undergo satisfactory cardiological evaluation before a fit assessment can be considered in consultation with the licensing authority. Applicants for the revalidation of a Class 1 medical certificate shall be referred to the licensing authority.











AMC1 MED.B.010 k)

- K) Coronary artery disease
- (1) Chest pain of uncertain cause should require full investigation.
- (2) In suspected asymptomatic coronary artery disease, exercise electrocardiography should be required. Further tests may be required, which should show no evidence of myocardial ischaemia or significant coronary artery stenosis.
- (3) Evidence of exercise-induced myocardial ischaemia should be disqualifying.
- (4) After an ischaemic cardiac event, including revascularisation, applicants without symptoms should have reduced any vascular risk factors to an appropriate level. Medication, when used to control cardiac symptoms, is not acceptable. All applicants should be on acceptable secondary prevention treatment.
- (i) A coronary angiogram obtained around the time of, or during, the ischaemic myocardial event and a complete, detailed clinical report of the ischaemic event and of any operative procedures should be available to the licensing authority:
- (A) there should be no stenosis more than 50 % in any major untreated vessel, in any vein or artery graft or at the site of an angioplasty/stent, except in a vessel subtending a myocardial infarction. More than two stenoses between 30 % and 50 % within the vascular tree should not be acceptable;
- (B) the whole coronary vascular tree should be assessed as satisfactory by a cardiologist, and particular attention should be paid to multiple stenoses and/or multiple revascularisations;











- C) an untreated stenosis greater than 30 % in the left main or proximal left anterior descending coronary artery should not be acceptable.
- (ii) At least 6 months from the ischaemic myocardial event, including revascularisation, the following investigations should be completed (equivalent tests may be substituted):
- (A) an exercise ECG showing neither evidence of myocardial ischaemia nor rhythm or conduction disturbance;
- (B) an echocardiogram showing satisfactory left ventricular function with no important abnormality of wall motion (such as dyskinesia or akinesia) and a left ventricular ejection fraction of 50 % or more;
- (C) in cases of angioplasty/stenting, a myocardial perfusion scan or stress echocardiogram, which should show no evidence of reversible myocardial ischaemia. If there is any doubt about myocardial perfusion in other cases (infarction or bypass grafting) a perfusion scan should also be required;
- (D) further investigations, such as a 24-hour ECG, may be necessary to assess the risk of any significant rhythm disturbance.
- (iii) Follow-up should be annually (or more frequently, if necessary) to ensure that there is no deterioration of the cardiovascular status. It should include a review by a cardiologist, exercise ECG and cardiovascular risk assessment. Additional investigations may be required by the licensing authority.
- (A) After coronary artery vein bypass grafting, a myocardial perfusion scan or equivalent test should be performed if there is any indication, and in all cases within 5 years from the procedure.
- (B) In all cases, coronary angiography should be considered at any time if symptoms, signs or non-invasive tests indicate myocardial ischaemia.
- (iv) Successful completion of the 6-month or subsequent review will allow a fit assessment with a multi-pilot limitation.













AMC2 MED.B.010 k)

- Coronary artery disease
- (1) Chest pain of uncertain cause requires full investigation.
- (2) In suspected asymptomatic coronary artery disease cardiological evaluation should show no evidence of myocardial ischaemia or significant coronary artery stenosis.
- (3) After an ischaemic cardiac event, or revascularisation, applicants without symptoms should have reduced any vascular risk factors to an appropriate level. Medication, when used to control angina pectoris, is not acceptable. All applicants should be on acceptable secondary prevention treatment.
- (i) A coronary angiogram obtained around the time of, or during, the ischaemic myocardial event and a complete, detailed clinical report of the ischaemic event and of any operative procedures should be available to the AME.
- (A) There should be no stenosis more than 50 % in any major untreated vessel, in any vein or artery graft or at the site of an angioplasty/stent, except in a vessel subtending a myocardial infarction. More than two stenoses between 30 % and 50 % within the vascular tree should not be acceptable.
- (B) The whole coronary vascular tree should be assessed as satisfactory and particular attention should be paid to multiple stenoses and/or multiple revascularisations.
- •









- (B) an echocardiogram showing satisfactory left ventricular function with no important abnormality of wall motion and a satisfactory left ventricular ejection fraction of 50 % or more;
- (C) in cases of angioplasty/stenting, a myocardial perfusion scan or stress echocardiogram which should show no evidence of reversible myocardial ischaemia. If there is doubt about revascularisation in myocardial infarction or bypass grafting, a perfusion scan should also be required;
- (D) further investigations, such as a 24-hour ECG, may be necessary to assess the risk of any significant rhythm disturbance.
- (iii) Periodic follow-up should include cardiological review.
- (A) After coronary artery bypass grafting, a myocardial perfusion scan (or satisfactory equivalent test) should be performed if there is any indication, and in all cases within five years from the procedure for a fit assessment without a safety pilot limitation.
- (B) In all cases, coronary angiography should be considered at any time if symptoms, signs or noninvasive tests indicate myocardial ischaemia.
- (iv) Successful completion of the six month or subsequent review will allow a fit assessment. Applicants may be assessed as fit with a safety pilot limitation having successfully completed only an exercise ECG.
- (4) Angina pectoris is disqualifying, whether or not it is abolished by medication.











- (B) an echocardiogram showing satisfactory left ventricular function with no important abnormality of wall motion and a satisfactory left ventricular ejection fraction of 50 % or more;
- (C) in cases of angioplasty/stenting, a myocardial perfusion scan or stress echocardiogram which should show no evidence of reversible myocardial ischaemia. If there is doubt about revascularisation in myocardial infarction or bypass grafting, a perfusion scan should also be required;
- (D) further investigations, such as a 24-hour ECG, may be necessary to assess the risk of any significant rhythm disturbance.
- (iii) Periodic follow-up should include cardiological review.
- (A) After coronary artery bypass grafting, a myocardial perfusion scan (or satisfactory equivalent test) should be performed if there is any indication, and in all cases within five years from the procedure for a fit assessment without a safety pilot limitation.
- (B) In all cases, coronary angiography should be considered at any time if symptoms, signs or noninvasive tests indicate myocardial ischaemia.
- (iv) Successful completion of the six month or subsequent review will allow a fit assessment. Applicants may be assessed as fit with a safety pilot limitation having successfully completed only an exercise ECG.
- (4) Angina pectoris is disqualifying, whether or not it is abolished by medication.











TWINNING PROJECT TR 11 IB TR 01 STRENGTHENING INSTITUTIONAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY OF THE TURKISH DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTYON

İlginiz için teşekkür ederiz











